

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. C		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,949	09/28/2001	Ole Simonsen	10110.200-US	10110.200-US 4818	
25908	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER		
NOVOZYM 500 FIFTH A	ES NORTH AMERIC	CA, INC.	HENDRICKS, KEITH D		
SUITE 1600	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	, NY 10110		1761		
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2004	ς .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	dviso	ry Ad	ction	
Before	the	Filing	of a	n Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/966,949	SIMONSEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Keith Hendricks	1761

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	, <u> </u>			
•	Keith Hendricks	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		126(a) and the engrousia	to extension for			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☑ The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	and but prior to the date of filing an	anneal brief. The No	tice of Anneal			
was filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> . A brief in compliance w Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	ith 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed with reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	nin two months of the smissal of the appeal	date of filing the			
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):	timely filed amondmy	ont concoling the			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ii be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attac	ned.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)				
		AN Her				
		KEITH HENDRICK PRIMARY EXAMINI	· -			



## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/966,949	SIMONSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Keith Hendricks	1761		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>24 January 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:         <ul> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>☑ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>☑ E. Other: Per 'C' above, claim 16 should read "(currently amended)".</li> </ul>
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf</a> .
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the <b>entire corrected amendment</b> must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (11-04)

Part of Paper No. 03012005

PRIMARY EXAMINER